

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,269	04/09/2001	Albert J. Sturm JR.	P19.12-0036	8135
27367	7590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.			JIMENEZ, MARC QUEMUEL	
SUITE 1400 - INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			3726	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/829,269	STURM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marc Jimenez	3726				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M. This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 12-21 and 53-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-21.53,54,57,58 and 64 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 55,56 and 59-63 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/829,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 12-18, 20, 21, 53, 54, 57, 58 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Landolt et al. (US4257201).

Landolt et al. teach a an elongated damping structure comprising a plurality of overlapping elongated segments 20,19,34 forming at least a portion of a housing, wherein proximate overlapping segments 20,19,34 are joined with damping material 112 disposed therebetween to define a corresponding fastening region, and wherein similar adjacent fastening regions 108 are spaced apart from each other along a cross-section of the housing taken transversally with respect to elongation of the overlapping segment (see figure 3), and wherein the fastening regions are disposed substantially along the length of the corresponding opposed overlapping elongated segments. The claims are not specific as to the particular size of the fastening regions, only that they are "disposed substantially along the length of the corresponding opposed overlapping elongated segments". The fastening regions of Landolt et al. clearly have a length and is disposed "substantially" along the length of the corresponding opposed overlapping elongated segments. It appears that applicant is attempting to claim that the

Application/Control Number: 09/829,269 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

fastening regions have a length that is substantially the same as the length of the overlapping elongated segments, however, this is not what is claimed.

Regarding claims 13, 53 and 54, note the rigid plate 34 and the U-shaped housing 14.

Regarding claims 14-15, note the flanges 30.

Regarding claims 16-17, the damping material is viscoelastic (col. 6, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 18, note the linear bearings 116.

Regarding claim 20, note the overtravel stops (to the right of lead line 102 in figure 2).

Regarding claim 21, note the rod 102 and the clearance aperture (to the left of lead line 102 in figure 2).

Regarding claim 57, note the rails 116.

Regarding claim 58, note the movable trucks 30 (movable by 10).

Regarding claim 64, note in figure 5, the elongated rigid plate 34, first plate 46, second plate 19, each plate having a first longitudinal edge joined to the rigid plate 34 and a second longitudinal edge spaced apart and disposed away from the rigid plate 34, the first plate 46 and the second plate 47 being coupled together through at least one damping material 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landolt et al. 4.

Landolt et al. teach the invention cited with the exception of having a plurality of fasteners.

However, official notice is taken that it was well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have used fasteners, since the use of fasteners will securely fasten parts together.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided the invention of Landolt et al. with a plurality of fasteners, in order to securely fasten the parts together.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 55, 56 and 59-63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 3-31-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant only argument is that Landolt et al. do not teach that the fastening regions extend along the length of the overlapping segments (page 8, last 4 lines to page 9, first 3 lines of the response filed 3-31-06). However, the fastening regions of Landolt et al. clearly have a length and is disposed "substantially" along the length of the corresponding opposed overlapping

elongated segments. It appears that applicant is attempting to claim that the fastening regions have a length that is substantially the same as the length of the overlapping elongated segments, however, this is not what is claimed. Applicant's figures appear to only show the cross-section of the fastening regions. Therefore, it is difficult to determine if applicant means that the fastening regions extend substantially along the entire length of the overlapping elongated segments.

Page 5

Conclusion

8. This is a request for continued examination. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/829,269 Page 6

Art Unit: 3726

Interviews After Final

9. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview is presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing) to clarify issues for appeal requiring only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Contact Information

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Jimenez whose telephone number is (571) 272-4530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 3726

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marc Jimenez, Primary Examine

MJ 09/23/05